-0.754 (4) and -0.683 (4) Å respectively whereas N3 is displaced by -1.499(3) Å out of this plane. The endocyclic bond lengths clearly indicate double at N1–C2, 1.274(3), and N4–C5, bonds 1.286 (2) Å. In the dimethylamino moiety the N51 atom has essentially sp³-hybridized character [N51] lies some -0.332 (2) Å out of the plane through C5, C52 and C53], and the C5-N51 bond length, 1.394 (2) Å, is appreciably longer than the corresponding distance in (IVa). Steric hindrance is minimized by the dimethylamino moiety twisting away from the main plane through the molecule; the dihedral angle between the planes through C5, C5a, C9a and N1, and C5, C52 and C53 is $65.44(1)^{\circ}$. The phenyl ring at C2 is planar within experimental error and makes a dihedral angle of $28 \cdot 2(1)^\circ$ with the plane defined by N1, C2 and N3 in order to minimize the non-bonded contact between the H atom bonded to N3 and the ortho-H atom on the phenyl ring. The remaining aromatic ring, atoms C5a, C6, C7, C8, C9 and C9a, is not strictly planar, the largest deviation being 0.014 (2) Å for C9; the displacements of the 7- and 9-methyl substituents are 0.046 (4) and 0.062 (4) Å respectively. In the crystal structure, Fig. 2(b), the molecules pack so that a network of intermolecular hydrogen bonds is formed between pairs of N1 and N3 atoms, viz. N1...N3(x, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $-\frac{1}{2} + z$) and N3…N1($x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$), 3.096 (3) Å.

All the figures were drawn using *PLUTO78* (Motherwell & Clegg, 1978), as modified by Dr A. Quick to run on the Amdahl computer at the University of London Computer Centre.

References

- BOYD, G. V., COBB, J., LINDLEY, P. F., MITCHELL, J. C. & NICOLAOU, G. A. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 99-101.
- BOYD, G. V., KHURSHID, M. M. P., NICOLAOU, G. A. & SMITH, J. A. S. (1988). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 933–937.
- CROMER, D. T. & MANN, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321–324.HUISGEN, R., SAUER, J. & SEIDEL, M. (1960). Chem. Ber. 93, 2885–2891.
- LINDLEY, P. F. (1985). System of molecular geometry and graphics programs written for an Acorn BBC microcomputer (unpublished). Birkbeck College, London, England.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCO, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). MULTAN80. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- MOTHERWELL, W. D. S. & CLEGG, W. (1978). *PLUTO*. Program for plotting molecular and crystal structures. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- RICHTER, P. & MORGENSTERN, O. (1984). Pharmazie, 39, 301-314.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England. TICKLE, I. J. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 329-331.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1697-1700

Structure of Ethyl 5-Ethoxy-3-phenyl-2-indolecarboxylate

BY T. N. CHANDRAKANTHA AND PUTTARAJA

Department of Physics, Jnana Bharathi Campus, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 056, India

and Vasantha Pattabhi

Department of Crystallography and Biophysics, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Madras-600 025, India

(Received 17 August 1989; accepted 27 October 1989)

Abstract. $C_{19}H_{19}NO_3$, $M_r = 309.37$, monoclinic, $P2_1/c$, a = 10.322 (3), b = 21.063 (5), c = 15.006 (5) Å, $\beta = 92.93$ (3)°, V = 3258.22 (1) Å³, Z = 8, $D_m = 1.27$ (5), $D_x = 1.26$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Cu K α) = 1.5418 Å, $\mu = 0.61$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1312, T = 300 K, final R = 0.048 for 4361 observed reflections. Two independent molecules per asymmetric unit. The phenyl ring connected to the planar indole rings is rotated out of the indole plane by 44.7 (4)° in molecule A and 47.3 (5)° in molecule B. The ethoxycarbonyl group makes an angle of $4\cdot 4$ (6)° with the mean plane of the indole rings in molecule A and $14\cdot 7$ (5)° in molecule B. The molecules in the asymmetric unit are held together by N—H…O bonds.

Introduction. Indole derivatives are important pharmacologically, possessing anti-allergic, central nervous system depressant and muscle relaxant properties (Harris & Uhle, 1960; Wei & Stanley,

0108-2701/90/091697-04\$03.00

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

N(1) C(2)

C(3)

C(4)

C(5) C(6)

C(7)

C(8) C(9)

C(10)

C(11) C(12)

C(13)

C(14) C(15) O(16) C(17)

C(18)

C(19) O(20) O(21) C(22)

C(23)

N(1') C(2') C(3') C(4') C(5')

C(6')

C(7') C(8')

C(9')

C(10') C(11') C(12')

C(13')

C(14')

C(15') O(16')

C(17')

C(18') C(19')

O(20') O(21')

C(22') C(23')

1970; Reynolds & Carson, 1970; Houlihah, 1973; White & Black, 1976; Ho, Haegman & Prisco, 1986). The title compound having a -COOC₂H₅ group next to the indole N atom serves as the most versatile starting material to synthesize fused indole heterocycles of biological importance. The crystallographic st dy of the title compound was undertaken to establish the structure unambiguously, as very little information is available on non-steroidal indole derivatives (Chakraborthy & Talapatra, 1986). This work forms part of the investigations of some pharmacologically important indoles and their precursors. The compound was synthesized by Fischer indolization (Huges, Lions, McKean, Murry, Callanan, Freeman, Ralph, Rassack, Dornbroski, Finch, Andrews, Betty, Scott, Vernon, Flack & Lawrence, 1938) of ethyl pyruvate-p-ethoxyphenylhydrazone using dry HCl gas.

Experimental. Transparent yellow crystals grown by diffusion of hexane in benzene solution; crystal of size $0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.2$ mm mounted on Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer; $\omega/2\theta$ scan; cell dimensions from least-squares refinement of 13 centered reflections in the range $10 \le \theta \le 30^\circ$; density by flotation technique; intensity data using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation to a maximum $2\theta = 130^{\circ}$; three standard reflections (160, 2,1,12, 319) monitored after every 2 h; 4868 independent reflections of which 4361 with $I \ge 3\sigma(I)$ considered observed; data corrected for Lorentzpolarization effects, an empirical absorption correction based on a series of ψ scans applied, minimum correction factor 0.972, maximum correction factor 0.999 and average correction factor 0.986. The structure was solved by MULTAN80 (Main, Fiske, Hull, Lessinger, Germain, Declercq & Woolfson, 1980); all H atoms located from successive difference Fourier maps; full-matrix least-squares refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-H atoms and isotropic thermal parameters for H atoms using SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976). Final R = 0.048 and wR $= 0.054, w = K[\sigma^{2}(F) + |g|F^{2}]^{-1}, K = 7.944, g =$ 0.00047, σ 's based on counting statistics; refinement terminated when shift/e.s.d. for non-H atoms was less than 0.2; the minimum and maximum residual electron densities in the final difference Fourier map were -0.25 and $0.21 \text{ e} \text{ }^{-3}$. Atomic scattering factors from SHELX76.

Discussion. The final positional parameters of non-H atoms with U_{eq} values are given in Table 1.* A

Table 1. Fractional coordinates $(\times 10^4)$ and equivalent isotropic temperature factors $(\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^4)$ for non-H atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

x	у	Z	U_{eq}
1186 (1)	-112(1)	5837 (1)	475 (5)
1076 (2)	- 727 (1)	5550 (1)	444 (5)
145 (2)	- 1042 (1)	6025 (1)	430 (5)
-1284 (2)	- 597 (1)	7268 (1)	485 (6)
- 1491 (2)	- 60 (1)	7757 (1)	494 (5)
- 777 (2)	504 (1)	7623 (1)	545 (6)
125 (2)	536 (1)	6994 (1)	533 (6)
354 (2)	-12(1)	6496 (1)	456 (5)
- 328 (2)	- 577 (1)	6630 (1)	451 (5)
-248 (2)	-1718 (1)	6010 (1)	465 (6)
- 574 (2)	- 2046 (1)	5228 (1)	575 (6)
-919 (2)	-2682 (1)	5250 (2)	730 (9)
-961 (2)	- 3003 (1)	6045 (2)	800 (10)
- 669 (2)	-2683 (1)	6824 (2)	751 (9)
- 314 (2)	-2046 (1)	6815 (1)	595 (7)
-2365 (1)	-12(1)	8416 (1)	607 (5)
- 3034 (2)	- 577 (1)	8644 (1)	597 (7)
- 3865 (3)	-423 (1)	9407 (2)	795 (9)
1956 (2)	-915 (1)	4860 (1)	459 (5)
2781 (1)	- 567 (1)	4588 (1)	603 (4)
1779 (1)	-1504 (1)	4568 (1)	533 (4)
2636 (2)	-1721 (1)	3894 (1)	611 (7)
2295 (3)	-2388 (1)	3693 (2)	942 (11)
4195 (2)	525 (1)	4236 (1)	505 (5)
4288 (2)	1153 (1)	4468 (1)	452 (5)
5134 (2)	1460 (1)	3919 (1)	418 (4)
6452 (2)	985 (1)	2629 (1)	472 (5)
. 6680 (2)	422 (1)	2198 (1)	513 (6)
6063 (2)	- 149 (1)	2443 (1)	594 (7)
5211 (2)	- 161 (1)	3108 (1)	569 (6)
4978 (2)	407 (1)	3553 (1)	483 (6)
5596 (2)	979 (1)	3333 (1)	436 (5)
5466 (2)	2140 (1)	3891 (1)	412 (5)
4514 (2)	2606 (1)	3924 (1)	524 (6)
4821 (2)	3242 (1)	3872 (1)	658 (8)
6091 (3)	3431 (1)	3784 (1)	736 (9)
7044 (2)	2976 (1)	3751 (1)	652 (8)
6744 (2)	2338 (1)	3802 (1)	502 (6)
7491 (1)	347 (1)	1509 (1)	637 (5)
8135 (2)	894 (1)	1207 (1)	666 (7)
9010 (3)	699 (1)	486 (2)	818 (9)
3602 (2)	1341 (1)	5258 (1)	468 (5)
2789 (1)	1018 (1)	5590 (1)	609 (5)
4005 (1)	1895 (1)	5600 (1)	560 (4)
3454 (2)	2095 (1)	6419 (1)	666 (7)
3843 (3)	2762 (1)	6585 (2)	860 (10)

perspective view of the molecule with bond lengths and angles is shown in Fig. 1 and the ORTEP (Johnson, 1965) plot of one of the molecules with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids is shown in Fig. 2. The indole ring system is planar in both the molecules with a maximum deviation of 0.018 (2) Å in molecule A and 0.010 (2) Å in molecule B. The phenyl ring connected to the indole moiety is rotated through 44.7 (4)° in molecule A and 47.3 (5)° in molecule B out of the indole plane. The mean plane of the ethoxycarbonyl group is inclined to the indole rings at an angle of 4.4 (6)° in molecule A and 14.7 (7)° in molecule B. The ester moiety is syn to C=O about the C-O bond, the torsion angle C(22)-O(21)-C(19)-O(20) is 0.22 (5)° in molecule A and 2.10 (5)° in molecule B.

The bond lengths and angles in the two phenyl rings are normal. The two C—N bonds in the fivemembered ring are almost equal having an average value of 1.364 (2) Å. The bond length C(3)—C(9) =

^{*} Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom parameters have been deposited with the British Library Document Supply Centre as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 52757 (29 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Technical Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

1.439 (2) Å is longer than the normal aromatic C—C bond and agrees with the values observed in other indole derivatives (Chandrashekar & Pattabhi, 1980). The bond length C(2)—C(3) = 1.393 (2) Å is comparable with the standard aromatic C—C bond but is longer than the values observed in various other non-steroidal indole derivatives (Falkenberg & Carlstrom, 1971; Vijayalakshmi & Srinivasan, 1975; Sakaki, Sogo, Wakahara, Kanai, Fujiwara & Tomita, 1976; Ishida, Inoue & Tomita, 1979; Acheson, Wallis & Watkin, 1980; Chandrashekar & Pattabhi, 1980; Shoja, 1988). The bond angle C(4)—C(5)—O(16) is larger than C(6)—C(5)—O(16)by 11° and this may be due to the *cis* orientation of C(4)—C(5) and C(17)—O(16) about the C(5)—O(16) bond causing the bond angle to be larger due to the repulsion between C(4) and C(17) (Sakaki *et al.*, 1976).

The C=O distances are normal. The apparent change in the bond lengths of the ethyl groups might be due to strong thermal vibrations of the terminal C atoms.

The molecular packing viewed down **a** is shown in Fig. 3. The molecules in the asymmetric unit are linked through N—H···O bonds [N···O 2·933 (3), 2·788 (3) Å, N—H···O 159·2 (7), 160·1 (6)°]. There is also an intramolecular H bond between N(1) and O(20) [N(1)···O(20) 2·731 (3), 2·760 (3) Å and N—H···O 88·72 (7), 89·54 (6)°]. In addition, there are a few intermolecular contacts less then 3·4 Å.



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecule with bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for molecules A and B.



 $\left(\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & &$

Fig. 2. ORTEP plot of one of the molecules with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids.

Fig. 3. A partial view of the molecular packing down *a*. Dotted lines indicate hydrogen bonding.

1700

The authors wish to thank Professor K. Venkatesan, Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore for encouragement. They also thank Dr A. Y. Merwade for providing the powder sample and useful discussions. One of the authors (TNC) thanks the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India, for a fellowship.

References

- ACHESON, M. R., WALLIS, J. D. & WATKIN, D. J. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 3125-3127.
- CHAKRABORTHY, D. K. & TALAPATRA, S. K. (1986). Acta Cryst. C42, 1435–1437.
- CHANDRASHEKAR, K. & PATTABHI, V. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 1165–1169.
- FALKENBERG, G. & CARLSTROM, D. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 411-418.
- HARRIS, L. S. & UHLE, F. C. (1960). J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 128, 358–362.
- Ho, C. Y., HAEGMAN, W. E. & PRISCO, F. (1986). J. Med. Chem. 29, 118-121.

- HOULIHAH, W. J. (1973). Chem. Abstr. 79, 115651m.
- HUGES, C. K., LIONS, F., MCKEAN, J. G., MURRY, A. J., CALLA-NAN, V., FREEMAN, D. H., RALPH, C. S., RASSACK, R., DORNBROSKI, J., FINCH, F., ANDREWS, R., BETTY, R. C., SCOTT, R. H., VERNON, C. W., FLACK, A. & LAWRENCE, C. H. (1938). J. Proc. R. Soc. N.S.W. 71, 458–461.
- ISHIDA, T., INOUE, M. & TOMITA, K. (1979). Acta Cryst. B35, 1642–1648.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- MAIN, P., FISKE, S. J., HULL, S. E., LESSINGER, L., GERMAIN, G., DECLERCQ, J.-P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1980). A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- REYNOLDS, B. & CARSON, J. (1970). Chem. Abstr. 72, 55528v.
- SAKAKI, T., SOGO, A., WAKAHARA, A., KANAI, T., FUJIWARA, T. & TOMITA, K. (1976). *Acta Cryst.* B32, 3235–3242.
- SHELDRICK, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for crystal structure determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- SHOJA, M. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 2238-2239.
- VIJAYALAKSHMI, B. K. & SRINIVASAN, R. (1975). Acta Cryst. B31, 999–1003.
- WEI, P. H. L. & STANLEY, C. (1970). Chem. Abstr. 73, 66635z.
- WHITE, A. C. & BLACK, R. M. (1986). Chem. Abstr. 85, 177505z.

Acta Cryst. (1990). C46, 1700-1704

Structure of 5β -Dihydrotestosterone

BY ALEKSANDER W. ROSZAK* AND PENELOPE W. CODDING[†]

Departments of Chemistry and of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, The University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

(Received 20 November 1989; accepted 2 January 1990)

Abstract. 17 β -Hydroxy-5 β -androstan-3-one, C₁₉- $H_{30}O_2$, $M_r = 290.45$, orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$, a = 11.7821 (6), b = 21.2184 (8), c = 6.5322 (2) Å, V =1633.0 (2) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1.181 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$, $\lambda(Cu K\alpha)$ = 1.54178 Å, $\mu = 0.58 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, F(000) = 640, T =293 K, R = 0.033 for 1849 unique observed reflections. The molecular conformation of 5β -dihydrotestosterone shows the strong bending typical of 5β -steroids: the bowing angle of the A ring, relative to the remainder of the steroid, is 65.1°. Bowing shortens the distance between the terminal O atoms. O(3) and O(17), to 9.824(2) Å which is ca 1 Å shorter than was observed in 5α -dihydrotestosterone and testosterone. The effects of both the bowing and the shorter separation between O(3) and O(17) may explain a difference in the affinity of 5β -dihydrotestosterone for the dexamethasone binding site on membranes compared to that of the other two com-

0108-2701/90/091700-05\$03.00

pounds. A unique conformational feature of 5β -dihydrotestosterone is the flattening of the A ring on the side containing the C(3)—C(4) bond; this may be due to the combination of the 3-oxo substitution and the 5β -configuration.

Introduction. Dexamethasone binding sites have been identified on both nuclear envelopes and plasma membranes (Howell & Lefebvre, 1989; Howell, Po & Lefebvre, 1989) and are postulated to be important in the transport of hormones. Our study of the activity profile of steroids that interact with the dexamethasone binding sites has shown that steroid affinity for these sites is strongly correlated to the distance between the terminal O atoms of the steroid (Roszak, Codding & Lefebvre, 1990). Analysis of the crystal structures of pregnanes showed that the distance between the O atoms, O(3) and O(20), is consistently in the range 11.2–11.9 Å whereas, for testosterone, the distances between the terminal O atoms, O(3) and O(17 β), are 10.94 and 10.93 Å in the two independent molecules of testosterone

© 1990 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} On leave from Institute of Chemistry, Nicolaus Copernicus University, Torun, Poland.

[†] Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.